

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/817,267	Applicant(s) FETCENKO ET AL.	
	Examiner NGOCLAN T. MAI	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

(1) NGOCLAN T. MAI.

(2) Robert J. Svoboda.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting.

Claims discussed:

33, 37-49

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The attorney agrees to file a terminal disclaimer to overcome rejection of claims made in Office Action dated 6/15/07 paragraph 10.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)